

**Anti-proteinuric effect of an endothelin-1 receptor antagonist in puromycin aminonucleoside induced nephrosis in rat.**

Running title: Anti-proteinuric effect of ambrisentan

Jiro Kino, Shoji Tsuji, Tetsuya Kitao, Sohsaku Yamanouchi, Takahisa Kimata,  
\*Kazunari Kaneko

Department of Pediatrics, Kansai Medical University, Osaka, Japan

Address Correspondence to:

Kazunari Kaneko, M.D, PhD.

Department of Pediatrics, Kansai Medical University

2-5-1 Shinmachi Hirakata, 573-1010, Osaka, Japan

Phone: [REDACTED] Fax: [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Statement of Financial Support:

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (KAKENHI, Grant numbers 25461634)

Disclosure statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Category of study: Basic science

## **Abstract**

**Background:** The pathogenesis of minimal change nephrotic syndrome (MCNS) remains unclear although recent studies suggest endothelin 1 (ET-1) and CD80 of podocytes are involved. We investigated the potential of antagonist to ET-1 receptor type A (ETRA) as therapeutic agent through suppression of CD80 in rat model of MCNS.

**Methods:** Puromycin aminonucleoside (PAN) was injected to Wister rats to induce proteinuria: some were treated with ETRA antagonist (ambrisentan) and others were treated with 0.5% methylcellulose. Control rats were treated with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) without PAN. Blood and tissue samples were collected. Quantitative PCR was used to determine the expression of Toll-like receptor-3 (TLR-3), nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB), CD80, talin, ETRA and ET-1 in the kidney.

**Results:** Amount of proteinuria in the ETRA antagonist treatment group was significantly lower than other groups. The same-day body weight, serum creatinine values and blood pressure were not significantly different. ETRA antagonist restores podocyte foot process effacement as well as the aberrant expression of TLR-3, NF-kB and CD80 in PAN-injured kidneys.

**Conclusions:** The ETRA antagonist may be promising drug for MCNS as it showed an anti-proteinuric effect independent of renal vasoconstriction. Its action was considered to be through suppression of CD80 expression on podocytes.

## **Introduction**

Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is characterized by heavy proteinuria. Leakage of massive amounts of serum proteins into the urine leads to a hypoalbuminemia, edema, hypercoagulable state, higher rate of infectious disease, and dysregulation of fluid balance. Childhood NS is classified into three types: idiopathic (INS, 90% of cases), secondary (10%), and congenital (1%). INS is further classified into two major histological variants: minimal change nephrotic syndrome (MCNS, 85%) and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS, 10%) [1, 2].

The pathogenesis of massive proteinuria in MCNS remains unclear though the abnormal expression of several podocyte-related molecules such as CD80 (also known as B7-1) or c-mip has recently attracted attention for its relationship with MCNS pathogenesis [3-5].

Endothelin (ET), which has a potent vasoconstrictor effect, was shown to be expressed not only in endothelium but also in podocytes, and the potential for ET to cause structural changes of podocytes leading to proteinuria has been reported [6-8]. Therefore, the possibility of using a therapeutic drug that is an ET receptor type A (ETRA) antagonist has gained prominence. In fact, it has been reported to reduce proteinuria and prevent glomerulosclerosis [9-11] though its mechanisms of action remains to be clarified.

Taken together, we wonder whether ETRA antagonist (ambrisentan) ameliorates proteinuria in INS through modification of podocyte-related molecules including CD80. To test this hypothesis, we: i) determined the ability of ambrisentan to reduce proteinuria in rats with puromycin aminonucleoside (PAN)-induced nephrosis, an animal model of MCNS, and ii) analyzed the potential molecular mechanisms of action

of ambrisentan on kidneys of rats with nephrosis induced by PAN.

## **Methods**

### **Animal and protocol**

The protocol was approved by Kansai Medical University (no.15-065). Female Wister rats (6 weeks old) were purchased from CLEA Japan, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). PAN nephrosis was induced by a single intravenous injection of PAN (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) dissolved in PBS at a dose of 50 mg/kg body weight. Ambrisentan was purchased from Glaxo Smith Kline (Brentford, UK). Systolic blood pressure was measured using a rat manometer (Brain Science Idea, Tokyo, Japan). A group of PAN injected rats (n = 15) were left untreated and received sham oral gavage vehicle solution (0.5% methylcellulose), while the treatment group also received ambrisentan (10 mg/kg, n = 15) dissolved in 0.5% methylcellulose orally once daily beginning two days before PAN injection and until day 9. Five control rats also received 0.5% methylcellulose by oral gavage daily with PBS injection. At day 9 or 12, the rats were anesthetized by isoflurane inhalation. The kidneys were removed immediately, and the renal cortex was processed. During the course of the experiments, 24-h urine specimens were obtained from all rats in metabolic cages each day, and urinary protein was measured using the pyrogallol red method. Serum creatinine was measured by standard laboratory methods.

### **Transmission electron microscopy**

For ultrastructural analysis, the renal cortex was dissected after systemic perfusion with PBS. Immediately, the tissues were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde for overnight, post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in a graded acetone series, and embedded in

Epon-Araldite. Ultra-thin sections, cut to 0.08  $\mu\text{m}$  thick and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, were examined using a Hitachi H-7100 (Tokyo, Japan).

### **RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR**

Total cellular RNA was extracted from the processed renal cortex using the RNeasy Mini Kit® (QIAGEN Inc., Hilden, Germany). To quantify mRNA expression, the real-time SYBR-Green assay was performed. For this, 1  $\mu\text{g}$  of RNA was converted to single-strand DNA (TAKARA Inc., Shiga, Japan). Each cDNA was mixed with 10  $\mu\text{M}$  of forward and reverse primers and 12.5  $\mu\text{L}$  of SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TAKARA Inc., Shiga, Japan). Quantitative PCR was performed in an Applied Thermal Cycler Dice® Real Time System II (TAKARA Inc., Shiga, Japan). The following primers were used:

TLR-3 forward, 5'-AGGTATTGAACCTGCAACACAATGA-3';

TLR-3 reverse, 5'-CCCAAGTTCCCAACTTTGTAGATGA-3';

NF- $\kappa$ B forward, 5'-GATGGGACGACACCTCTACACATA-3';

NF- $\kappa$ B reverse, 5'-CCCAAGAGTCGTCCAGGTCA-3';

CD80 forward, 5'-TCGTAGGTGAAACACCTGA-3';

CD80 reverse, 5'-CCGGAAGCAAAGCAGGTAATC-3';

Talin forward, 5'-TGTGCCAATGGCTACCTGGA-3';

Talin reverse, 5'-GTCCTGTCAGCTGCTGCTTTAGTTC-3';

Ednra forward, 5'-GCATTAACCTGGCAACCATGAAC-3';

Ednra reverse, 5'-GGACTGGTGACAACAGCAACAGA-3';

ET-1 forward, 5'-ACCTGGACATCATCTGGGTCAAC-3';

ET-1 reverse, 5'-TTTGGTGAGCACACTGGCATC-3';

GAPDH forward, 5'-GGCACAGTCAAGGCTGAGAATG-3';

GAPDH reverse, 5'-ATGGTGGTGAAGACGCCAGTA-3'.

The threshold cycle (Ct) was used for determining the relative expression level of each gene, by normalizing to the Ct of GAPDH. The  $\Delta\Delta\text{CT}$  was used to calculate the relative change.

### **Statistical analysis**

Two-way analysis of variance was used for statistics among 3 experimental groups followed by a Tukey's test for post-hoc analysis. Significance was defined as  $P < 0.05$ . All error bars in the graphs represent SEM.

### **Results**

#### **Evaluation of body weight, and blood pressure.**

At first, we evaluated whether ambrisentan have an impact on body weight and systemic blood pressure using every three rats at day -1, day 1, day 4, day 7 and day 9. As a result, blood pressure had no significant difference in all dates (Fig. 1A). There was no significant difference in body weight in the same way (Fig. 1B).

#### **Ambrisentan reduces PAN-induced proteinuria in rats.**

As shown in Fig. 2, 10 female Wistar rats developed massive proteinuria after a single intravenous injection of PAN (50 mg/kg), which peaked on day 9 (urinary total protein [U-TP] 747.7 mg/kg/day: dashed line). In contrast, 5 control rats receiving intravenous PBS maintained baseline levels of urinary protein (U-TP at day 9: 4.7 mg/kg/day: dotted line). To determine the ability of ambrisentan to reduce PAN-induced proteinuria, 10 rats/group were also treated daily with oral administration of ambrisentan (10 mg/kg),

which significantly reduced proteinuria (U-TP at day 9: 272.6 mg/kg/day: solid line). Thus, ambrisentan treatment ameliorated PAN-induced proteinuria. As shown in Fig. 3, no statistically significant differences in serum creatinine were found among the three groups of rats at day 9 (n = 5 per each groups, P = 0.46). These findings suggest that the reduction of proteinuria by ambrisentan is independent of the effects on renal hemodynamics.

#### **Ambrisentan restores foot process effacement in PAN-injured glomeruli.**

Evaluation of glomeruli by electron microscopy revealed that PAN-injured glomeruli showed structural changes of podocytes (Fig. 3A), with their edema and foot process effacement. In contrast, ambrisentan treatment after PAN injection ameliorated the severity of foot process effacement compared to PAN injection alone (Fig. 3B).

#### **Ambrisentan restores both the expression of mRNA of *TLR-3*, *NF-κB* and *CD80* and *talin* in kidney tissues.**

Enhanced glomerular mRNA expression of *TLR-3* {encodes for Toll-like receptor-3 (TLR-3)}, *NF-κB* {encodes for nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB)} and *CD80* (encodes for CD80) was inhibited after treatment with ambrisentan (Fig. 5A-C). In contrast, glomerular mRNA expression of talin was suppressed by PAN injection, which was restored by ambrisentan (Fig. 5D).

Regarding glomerular mRNA expression of *ETRA* (encodes for ETRA) and *ET-1* (encodes for ET-1), they were not significantly affected by PAN injury. However, treatment with ambrisentan decreased glomerular mRNA expression of *ETRA* and

increased that of *ET-1* (Fig. 5E, F). \*P < 0.05. All error bars in the graphs represent SEM.

## **Discussion**

ET, that was discovered in 1988, is a powerful 21-amino-acid vasoconstrictive peptide that originates in the endothelium. There are three types of the ET peptide family (ET-1, 2, and 3), which are found in most mammals including humans; among them, ET-1 shows the strongest physiological effects [12, 13]. ET-1 production in endothelial cells is promoted by bioactive substances including transforming growth factor- $\beta$ , vasopressin, adrenalin, and other cytokines, whereas its production is inhibited by nitric oxide, prostacyclin, atrial natriuretic peptide, and others [14, 15]. ET-1 combines with two receptors of type A and type B (ETRB). When these receptors are stimulated by ET-1, various intracellular signaling systems such as the protein kinase C pathway or mitogen-activated protein kinase activation pathway are activated [16, 17]. ET-1-mediated activation of these receptors is known to be involved in pulmonary hypertension and hypertensive status [18, 19]. Therefore, various ET receptor antagonists including selective ETRA antagonist and ETRB antagonist as promising agents for pulmonary hypertension are currently under development [20, 21].

ET family peptides are found in endothelial cells as well as in all other organs, including the kidneys [22]: ET production occurs in the glomerular endothelial cells, podocytes or renal tubular cells [23, 24]. Excessive or decreased production of ET-1 is thought to cause disequilibrium between the two types of receptors, leading to proteinuria and kidney diseases [6, 8]. It has been postulated that numerous ET-dependent mechanisms contribute to proteinuria and kidney diseases [25, 26]: ET



promotes collagen production and stimulates glomerular fibronectin synthesis; ET becomes activated under conditions associated with renal disease progression, such as diabetes, insulin resistance, obesity, dyslipidemia, reactive oxygen species formation and inflammation [27, 28].

An involvement of ET-1 in the development of proteinuria in MCNS was suggested by an increase of ET-1 excreted into the urine of the patients [29]. An animal study using PAN-treated nephrosis in rats further supported an important role of ET-1 in MCNS as it demonstrated a significant decrease in proteinuria following treatment with an ETRA antagonist though the contradicting results also existed [30, 31]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies to investigate the molecular mechanisms within podocytes in the development of ET-1 induced proteinuria.

Regarding the mechanisms of proteinuria in MCNS, it was recently hypothesized that structural changes occur because of immunological abnormalities that activate podocytes (two-hit theory) [32]. This theory is illustrated in Figure 6 and is summarized as follows: 1) Some types of antigens (viral RNA or serum protein) bind to the TLRs present on the podocytes (1<sup>st</sup> hit); 2) NF- $\kappa$ B of podocytes is activated by TLR stimulation; 3) NF- $\kappa$ B activation causes overexpression of CD80 on podocytes leading to suppression of  $\beta$ 1 integrin by blocking the binding of talin to the  $\beta$ 1 integrin; 5) Because of these structural changes, serum proteins from glomerular capillaries leak into the urine, and; 6) regulatory T-cells (Treg) which control the expression of CD80 circulating in the blood are functionally or quantitatively reduced (2<sup>nd</sup> hit). Continued proteinuria sustains the abnormal condition described above 1) - 6) [33]. In this theory, central player is a CD80, which is a 53 kD membrane associated protein. It had been long believed that CD80 was only expressed on B-lymphocytes and antigen presenting

cells where it acts as a costimulatory receptor with role in T-cell activation [34, 35]. The role of CD80 in podocyte pathobiology was proposed by Reiser et al. in an experimental model where lipopolysaccharide injection in mice, resulted in increased expression of CD80 in podocytes and proteinuria, while no proteinuria occurred in CD80 knockout mice [3]. Furthermore, Yu et al. have recently found that CD80 positive podocytes have a reduced capacity to attach to the surrounding matrix through  $\beta 1$  integrin. They found that CD80 positive podocytes change their morphologic characteristics, leading to podocyte foot processes detachment from the glomerular basement membrane and thus proteinuria [36]. Recent report that children with steroid-sensitive NS are characterized by increased expressions of TLR-3, TLR-4 and CD80 mRNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells also lend support for this theory [37].

In this study, we evaluated this theory using an *in vivo* MCNS model animal to clarify the molecular pathway within podocytes in ET-1 induced proteinuria and therapeutic potential of the selective ETRA antagonist such as ambrisentan. We used PAN as a nephrotoxin because it is commonly used to induce massive proteinuria in rats. In addition, it has advantage than other nephrotoxins for the study of the pathogenesis of proteinuria in MCNS because rats develop massive proteinuria within 10 days after its single injection and is known to induce foot process effacement, a hallmark of MCNS histology. Furthermore, the previous reports that PAN induced CD80 activation in cultured podocytes [3] prompted us to choose it. As a result, an administration of ambrisentan to PAN rats showed effects against proteinuria without significant changes in serum creatinine, urine volume and blood pressure. The suppression of effacement of podocyte foot process was also confirmed by electron microscopy. Study by RT-PCR using the renal tissue from PAN-induced nephrosis rats treated with ambrisentan

disclosed the following characteristics: 1) suppressed mRNA expression of TLR-3, NF- $\kappa$ B and CD80, all of which were up-regulated by PAN-induced nephrosis; 2) increased mRNA expression of talin-1, which plays an important role of attachment of podocytes to the surrounding matrix through  $\beta$ 1 integrin; 3) Although glomerular mRNA expression of *ETRA* and *ET-1* were not significantly affected by PAN injury, treatment with ambrisentan decreased glomerular mRNA expression of *ETRA* and increased that of *ET-1* in agreement with the previous report [38]. These findings suggest the existence of feedback loops on production of *ETRA* and *ET-1* within renal glomeruli [6].

Based on these results, the following can be predicted regarding the appearance of proteinuria in PAN-injected rats and the anti-proteinuric effects of ambrisentan (Fig. 6): Stimulation by PAN administration caused activation of NF- $\kappa$ B intracellular signaling pathway within podocytes through TLR-3; Activated podocytes express CD80 on cellular surfaces; Overexpressed CD80 downregulated talin expression resulting in detachment of podocyte from the glomerular basement membrane [39]; Upon administration of the ambrisentan, these signaling pathway was suppressed by downregulating the expression of TLR-3, NF- $\kappa$ B, and CD80 by several possible cascades and these restored the talin expression and reduced the amount of proteinuria.

A limitation of this experiment was that we could examine the expression of only six molecules in the podocytes. Some reports have suggested that *ET-1* stimulation via *ETRA* induces signal transmission by mitogen-activated protein kinase, matrix metalloprotease-9, Rho-kinase, and other pathways, causing changes to the podocyte cytoskeleton and structural changes to the slit membranes [10, 27, 40]. These signaling pathways might be also involved.

In conclusion, our results suggest that ambrisentan acts on podocytes to exhibit an anti-proteinuric effect that is through NF- $\kappa$ B intracellular signaling pathway and is independent of renal vasoconstriction in PAN-induced nephrosis in rats, an animal model of MCNS. Therefore, ETRA antagonist such as ambrisentan may have potential as a therapeutic agent for MCNS.

### **Statement of Financial Support**

This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (KAKENHI, Grant numbers 25461634).

### **Disclosure statement**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Eddy AA, Symons JM. Nephrotic syndrome in childhood. *Lancet* 2003;362:629-39.
2. KDIGO. Chapter 3: Steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2012;2:163-71.
3. Reiser J, von Gersdorff G, Loos M, *et al.* Induction of B7-1 in podocytes is associated with nephrotic syndrome. *J Clin Invest* 2004;113:1390-7.
4. Audard V, Zhang SY, Copie-Bergman C, *et al.* Occurrence of minimal change nephrotic syndrome in classical Hodgkin lymphoma is closely related to the induction of c-mip in Hodgkin-Reed Sternberg cells and podocytes. *Blood* 2010;115:3756–62.
5. Cara-Fuentes G, Clapp WL, Johnson RJ, Garin EH. Pathogenesis of proteinuria in idiopathic minimal change disease: molecular mechanisms. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2016;31:2179-89.
6. Barton M, Tharaux PL. Endothelin and the podocyte. *Clin Kidney J* 2012;5:17-27.
7. Dhaun N, Webb DJ, Kluth DC. Endothelin-1 and the kidney—beyond BP. *Br J Pharmacol* 2012;167:720-31.
8. Lenoir O, Milon M, Virsolvy A, *et al.* Direct action of Endothelin-1 on podocytes promotes diabetic glomerulosclerosis 2014;25:1050-62.
9. Ebihara I, Nakamura T, Tomino Y, Koide H. Effect of a specific endothelin receptor A antagonist and an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor on glomerular mRNA levels for extracellular matrix components, metalloproteinases (MMP) and a tissue inhibitor of MMP in aminonucleoside nephrosis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997;12:1001-6.

10. Ortmann J, Amann K, Brandes RP, *et al.* Role of podocytes for reversal of glomerulosclerosis and proteinuria in the aging kidney after endothelin inhibition. *Hypertension* 2004;44:974-81.
11. Wenzel RR, Littke T, Kuranoff S, *et al.* Avosentan reduces albumin excretion in diabetics with macroalbuminuria. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009;20:655-64.
12. Yanagisawa M, Kurihara H, Kimura S, *et al.* A novel potent vasoconstrictor peptide produced by vascular endothelial cells. *Nature* 1988;332:411-5.
13. Furchgott RF, Vanhoutte PM. Endothelium-derived relaxing and contracting factors. *FASEB J* 1989;3:2007-18.
14. Terada Y, Tomita K, Nonoguchi H, Marumo F. Different localization of two types of endothelin receptor mRNA in microdissected rat nephron segments using reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction assay. *The Journal of clinical investigation* 1992;90:107-12.
15. Kohan DE, Rossi NF, Inscho EW, Pollock DM. Regulation of blood pressure and salt homeostasis by endothelin. *Physiol Rev* 2011;91:1-77
16. Kohan DE, Inscho EW, Wesson D, Pollock DM. Physiology of endothelin and the kidney. *Compr Physiol* 2011;2:883-919.
17. De Miguel C, Speed JS, Kasztan M, Gohar EY, Pollock DM. Endothelin-1 and the kidney: new perspectives and recent findings. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2016;25:35-41.
18. Kohan DE, Rossi NF, Inscho EW, Pollock DM. Regulation of blood pressure and salt homeostasis by endothelin. *Physiol Rev* 2011;91:1-77.
19. Sauvageau S, Thorin E, Caron A, Dupuis J. Evaluation of endothelin-1-induced pulmonary vasoconstriction following myocardial infarction. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*

2006;231:840-6.

20. Macchia A, Marchioli R, Tognoni G, *et al.* Systematic review of trials using vasodilators in pulmonary arterial hypertension: why a new approach is needed. *Am Heart J* 2010;159:245-57.

21. Galiè N, Olschewski H, Oudiz RJ, *et al.* Ambrisentan for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension: results of the ambrisentan in pulmonary arterial hypertension, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, efficacy (ARIES) study 1 and 2. *Circulation* 2008;117:3010-9.

22. Eid H, de Bold ML, Chen JH, de Bold AJ. Epicardial mesothelial cells synthesize and release endothelin. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1994;24:715-20.

23. Kohan DE. Endothelins in the normal and diseased kidney. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1997;29:2-26.

24. Ehrenreich H, Anderson RW, Fox CH, *et al.* Endothelins, peptides with potent vasoactive properties, are produced by human macrophages. *J Exp Med* 1990;172:1741-8.

25. Drakopoulos A, Goumenos DS, Vlachojannis JG, Tsakas S. Endothelin receptors in the kidney of patients with proteinuric and non-proteinuric nephropathies. *Ren Fail* 2006;28:461-7.

26. Dufek B, Meehan DT, Delimont D, *et al.* Endothelin A receptor activation on mesangial cells initiates Alport glomerular disease. *Kidney Int* 2016;90:300-10.

27. Barton M. Reversal of proteinuric renal disease and the emerging role of endothelin. *Nat Clin Pract Nephrol* 2008;4:490-501.

28. Barton M. Therapeutic potential of endothelin receptor antagonists for chronic proteinuric renal disease in humans. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010;1802:1203-13.

29. Ohta K, Hirata Y, Shichiri M, *et al.* Urinary excretion of endothelin-1 in normal subjects and patients with renal disease. *Kidney Int* 1991;39:307-11.
30. Tan RJ, Zhou L, Zhou D, Lin L, Liu Y. Endothelin receptor a blockade is an ineffective treatment for adriamycin nephropathy. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e79963.
31. Ikebe M, Nonoguchi H, Nakayama Y, *et al.* Regulation of endothelin-converting enzyme 1 in nephrotic syndrome in rats. *Nephron Exp Nephrol* 2003;94:e137-45.
32. Mundel P, Reiser J. Proteinuria: an enzymatic disease of the podocyte? *Kidney Int* 2010;77:571-80.
33. Shimada M, Ishimoto T, Lee PY, *et al.* Toll-like receptor 3 ligands induce CD80 expression in human podocytes via an NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent pathway. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012;27:81-9.
34. Henry J, Miller MM, Pontarotti P. Structure and evolution of the extended B7 family. *Immunol Today* 1999;20:285-8.
35. Chambers CA, Allison JP. Costimulatory regulation of T cell function. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1999;11:203-10.
36. Yu CC, Fornoni A, Weins A, *et al.* Abatacept in B7-1-positive proteinuric kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:2416-23.
37. Mishra OP, Kumar R, Narayan G, *et al.* Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR-3), TLR-4 and CD80 expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and urinary CD80 levels in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2017;32:1355-61.
38. Shimo T, Adachi Y, Yamanouchi S, *et al.* A novel nuclear factor  $\kappa$ B inhibitor, dehydroxymethylepoxyquinomicin, ameliorates puromycin aminonucleoside-induced nephrosis in mice. *Am J Nephrol* 2013;37:302-9.



39. Mundel P. Podocyte-targeted treatment for proteinuric kidney disease. *Semin Nephrol* 2016;36:459-462.
40. Garsen M, Lenoir O, Rops AL, *et al.* Endothelin-1 induces proteinuria by Heparanase-mediated disruption of the glomerular Glycocalyx. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016;27:3545-51.

### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Ms A. Yoshioka at Kansai Medical University for their expert technical assistance, and also Ms. T. Katsuta in the Department of Pediatrics, Kansai Medical University, for aid in the preparation of this manuscript.

## Figure Legends

### **Fig.1. Blood pressure and body weight had no significant difference in all dates**

There was no significant difference among groups in terms of systolic arterial pressure (SAP) by tail cuff measurement (Fig. 1A). Regularly measured body weights were not different among three groups (Fig. 1B). Solid line: rats injected with PAN and ambrisentan; broken line: rats injected with PAN; dotted line: rats received no treatment except PBS injection. All error bars in the graphs represent standard error of the mean (SEM).

### **Fig. 2. Detailed analyses of proteinuria in PAN-injected Wister rats.**

PAN injection without ambrisentan in the Wister rats (n = 10) induced proteinuria which gradually increased in its amount up to day 9 and gradually decreased thereafter (broken line). In contrast, treatment group with 10 mg/kg of ambrisentan (n = 10) significantly reduced the amount of proteinuria during day 7, 9 and 12 (solid line). The control rats receiving intravenous PBS maintained baseline levels of urinary protein even at day 9 (dotted line). During the course of the experiments, 24-h urine specimens were obtained from all rats in metabolic cages each day, and urinary protein was measured using the pyrogallol red method. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01. All error bars in the graphs represent SEM.

### **Fig. 3. Effect of ambrisentan on the serum creatinine in PAN-induced nephrosis in rats.**

Nine days after PAN injection, the rat were sacrificed and the serum concentration of creatinine was measured (n = 5, in each group). No statistically significant differences

in serum creatinine values were found among the three groups of rats at day 9. The central horizontal line in the box represents the median value, and the bottom and top edges of the box are located at the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The central vertical lines extend from the box to the 90th or 10th percentiles.

**Fig. 4. Histological analyses of the kidneys of PAN-injected rat with or without ambrisentan.**

The panels show representative images of the electron microscopy in the glomeruli of kidneys. Nine days after PAN injection, rats were sacrificed and kidney histology was analyzed. Electron microscopic analysis revealed podocyte flattening and extensive effacement of foot processes only in the glomeruli of the PAN-injected rat (Fig. 4A, x2,000) but not in the glomeruli of the PAN-injected rat pre-treated with ambrisentan (Arrows in Fig. 4B, x1,800)

**Fig. 5. Ambrisentan restores both the enhanced expression of TLR-3 and CD80 in kidney tissues of PAN-induced injury.**

Total RNA was extracted from dissected renal cortex from control, PAN-injected, and PAN-injected rats treated with ambrisentan (n=5 per each groups). Fig. 5A-C: Glomerular mRNA expression of *TLR-3* (encodes for TLR-3) and *CD80* (encodes for CD80) was significantly increased after PAN-induced injury. These enhanced expressions were significantly inhibited by treatment with ambrisentan. Glomerular mRNA expression of *NF-κB* (encodes for NF-κB) indicated a similar tendency although it did not reach statistical significance; Fig. 5D: In contrast, glomerular mRNA expression of talin was suppressed by PAN injection, which was restored by

ambrisentan though it did not reach statistical significance either; Fig. 5E, F: Regarding glomerular mRNA expression of *ETRA* (encodes for ETRA) and *ET-1* (encodes for ET-1), they were not significantly affected by PAN injection while treatment with ambrisentan decreased glomerular mRNA expression of *ETRA* and increased glomerular mRNA expression of *ET-1*. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P<0.01. All error bars in the graphs represent SEM.

**Fig. 6. Hypothetical molecular mechanism of podocyte on the appearance of proteinuria in PAN-injected rats**

Stimulation by PAN administration caused activation of NF- $\kappa$ B intracellular signaling pathway within podocytes through TLR-3. Activated podocytes express CD80 on cellular surfaces; Overexpressed CD80 downregulated talin expression resulting in detachment of podocyte from the glomerular basement membrane. Upon administration of the ambrisentan, these signaling pathway was suppressed by downregulating the expression of TLR-3, NF- $\kappa$ B, and CD80 by several possible cascades and these restored the talin expression and reduced the amount of proteinuria. Solid arrow lines indicate the stimulation or activation while dashed lines denote the inhibitory signals.